

Classical Sociological Theory and Foundations of American Sociology

Classical Sociological Theory and Foundations of American Sociology

ALLISON L. HURST

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY CORVALLIS, OR







Classical Sociological Theory and Foundations of American Sociology by Allison Hurst is licensed under a **Creative Commons** <u>Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License</u>, except where otherwise noted.

Download for free at https://open.oregonstate.education/sociologicaltheory

Publication and on-going maintenance of this textbook is possible due to grant support from Oregon State **University Ecampus.**

Suggest a correction

Contents

Part I. Marx and Engels

1. Revolution is Coming

3

PART I MARX AND ENGELS

1. Revolution is Coming

download

"History is the judge -its executioner, the proletarian."

NOTE ON SOURCE: This selection was published in The People's Paper in 1856. It is a speech Marx gave at a banquet on the paper's anniversary. Here is how the speech was introduced in the paper, "On Monday last at the Bell Hotel, Strand, Ernest Jones entertained the compositors of The People's Paper and the other gentlemen connected with its office, at a supper, which was joined by a large number of the leading Democrats of England, France and Germany now in London. The banquet commenced at seven, and at nine o'clock the cloth was cleared, when a series of sentiments was given from the chair. The Chairman then proposed the toast: 'The proletarians of Europe', which was responded to by Dr. Marx as follows..."

Introduction – Why this is important and what to look for

This speech is included here for two reasons. One, it shows Marx in a candid moment. He did not intend his impromptu speech to be published. This is the Marx that his colleagues and the many workers that he came in contact with during his life work knew and loved. Two, it demonstrates Marx's hope and prediction that the inequalities attendant upon capitalism would come to an end, sooner rather than later, and that the agent of these changes would be the working class.

Marx's speech on the toast to the proletarians of Europe

The so-called revolutions of 1848 were but poor incidents – small fractures and fissures in the dry crust of European society. However, they denounced the abyss. Beneath the apparently solid surface, they betrayed oceans of liquid matter, only needing expansion to rend into fragments continents of hard rock. Noisily and confusedly they proclaimed the emancipation of the Proletarian, i.e. the secret of the 19th century, and of the revolution of that century.

That social revolution, it is true, was no novelty invented in 1848. Steam, electricity, and the self-acting mule were revolutionists of a rather more dangerous character than even citizens Barbés, Raspail and Blanqui. But, although the atmosphere in which we live, weighs upon everyone with a 20,000 lb. force, do you feel it? No more than European society before 1848 felt the revolutionary atmosphere enveloping and pressing it from all sides. There is one great fact, characteristic of this our 19th century, a fact which no party dares deny.

On the one hand, there have started into life industrial and scientific forces, which no epoch of the former human history had ever suspected. On the other hand, there exist symptoms of decay, far surpassing the horrors recorded of the latter times of the Roman Empire. In our days, everything seems pregnant with its contrary: Machinery, gifted with the wonderful power of shortening and fructifying human labor, we behold starving and overworking it; The newfangled sources of wealth, by some strange weird spell, are turned into sources of want; The victories of art seem bought by the loss of character.

At the same pace that mankind masters nature, man seems to become enslaved to other men or to his own infamy. Even the pure light of science seems unable to shine but on the dark background of ignorance. All our invention and progress seem to result in endowing material forces with intellectual life, and in stultifying human life into a material force.

This antagonism between modern industry and science on the one hand, modern misery and dissolution on the other hand; this antagonism between the productive powers and the social relations of our epoch is a fact, palpable, overwhelming, and not to be controverted. Some parties may wail over it; others may wish to get rid of modern arts, in order to get rid of modern conflicts. Or they may imagine that so signal a progress in industry wants to be completed by as signal a regress in politics. On our part, we do not mistake the shape of the shrewd spirit that continues to mark all these contradictions. We know that to work well the newfangled forces of society, they only want to be mastered by newfangled men – and such are the working men. They are as much the invention of modern time as machinery itself.

In the signs that bewilder the middle class, the aristocracy and the poor prophets of regression, we do recognize our brave friend, Robin Goodfellow (Puck), the old mole that can work in the earth so fast, that worthy pioneer – the Revolution. The English working men are the firstborn sons of modern industry. They will then, certainly, not be the last in aiding the social revolution produced by that industry, a revolution, which means the emancipation of their own class all over the world, which is as universal as capital-rule and wages-slavery. I know the heroic struggles the English working class have gone through since the middle of the last century – struggles less glorious, because they are shrouded in obscurity, and burked [murdered by suffocation] by the middle-class historian. To revenge the misdeeds of the ruling class, there existed in the middle ages, in Germany, a secret tribunal, called the "Vehmgericht." If a red cross was seen marked on a house, people knew that its owner was doomed by the "Vehm." All the houses of Europe are now marked with the mysterious red cross.

History is the judge – its executioner, the proletarian.

Questions

- 1. Marx often writes of the contradictions of capitalism. We see this here. What has capitalism done well? What negative consequences have arisen?
- 2. Why does Marx say that workers "are as much the invention of modern time as machinery itself"?
- 3. This ends rather ominously. Is Marx advocating violence against the bourgeoisie?

Concepts

Class struggle